

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL HELD ON  
MONDAY 26 JANUARY 2026 AT 7PM IN THE VILLAGE HALL**

**PRESENT:** Cllr Meyrick Williams (Chairman), Cllr Cepta Hamm, Cllr Louise Hodgetts, Cllr Richard Milnes-James and Cllr Kate Stewart (Winchfield Parish Council – WPC)  
8 Members of the public  
Litter Pick Co-ordinator  
Footpaths Warden  
Cllr Tim Davies, Hampshire County Council (HCC)  
Community Engagement Ranger, HCC  
Mrs Alison Ball (Clerk)

**1 APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Cllrs Anne Crampton, Tim Southern and Spencer Farmer, Hart District Council (HDC) and members of the Neighbourhood Policing Team.

**2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND APPLICATIONS FOR DISPENSATIONS UNDER S33 OF THE LOCALISM ACT 2011**

Cllr Stewart declared an interest in Agenda Item 18.2, Land North of Winchfield Court, as a close neighbour to the site.

**3 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

None.

**4 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

**RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on 17 November 2025 be approved and signed as a correct record.

**5 MATTERS ARISING**

None.

**6 HAMPSHIRE DEVOLUTION & LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION**

The Chairman advised that the Parish Council had recently submitted a response to the Government consultation on the proposals for new unitary authorities in Hampshire. The full response was available on the Parish Council website. A decision by ministers was expected by March 2026.

It was noted that the decision had been taken to have elections in Hampshire in May 2026. This would be elections for county and district councillors. There were no elections scheduled for Winchfield Parish Council in 2026, the next elections for the Parish Council were due to take place in May 2027.

The Chairman reported that the new Mayor would have significant power with regard to planning matters and would be able to override Local Plans. This would be significant for Winchfield and he urged residents to think very carefully about who to vote for.

## 7 FOOTPATHS REPORT

The Chairman welcomed the Community Engagement Ranger from HCC to the meeting and thanked him for his attendance.

The Community Engagement Ranger addressed the meeting advising that he aimed to bridge the gap between HCC and volunteers who were helping to keep all the footpaths in good condition. He had recently trained 40 volunteers who would be working on paths across Hampshire. At least seven of these volunteers would operate within Winchfield. He hoped to set up a community of volunteers that would all be in touch with each other to co-ordinate works on the footpaths. He would engage with the Parish Council about work that needed doing within the parish. He could provide a small amount of equipment to volunteers but there was no budget for training. He could help volunteers to procure training should they be willing to fund this themselves.

The footpath warden advised that there were broken signs on FP2 and FP14 which needed to be addressed.

The Chairman advised that the Contractor being used to carry out small maintenance jobs on the footpaths had been doing an excellent job. He had not had a pay increase for 3 years and the Chairman recommended a pay increase of 3% which would equal £30 an hour.

**RESOLVED:** That Blay Builders continue as the footpath maintenance contractor and that they be paid £30 an hour for maintenance work with each job to be agreed by the Chairman in advance of the work being carried out.

(NOTE: The Chairman moved this item up the agenda to allow the Community Engagement Ranger from HCC to leave the meeting after this item if he wished.)

## 8 HIGHWAYS REPORT

### 8.1 Updates from HCC Highways:

The Chairman advised that thanks had recently been expressed to staff at Hampshire Highways following a complimentary message from a resident in relation to works along the Shapley Heath service road. Highways staff had spoken to residents and listened to concerns relating to proposed works and as a result the design had been changed to make sure everyone would be happy with the result.

### 8.2 Flooding on the Highway

The Chairman had recently contacted Hampshire Highway's about the flooding on Pale Lane. He was waiting for a response.

The Chairman asked residents to take pictures of any flooding in the village every time it took place and send the picture to the Clerk as a record was being kept.

Cllr Hamm advised that she had met with the Assistant Highways Manager to discuss the issues along Station Road. He had advised that there was not the budget to keep coming to clear the silt from the drains. He was looking into whether there could be any other actions that could help alleviate the flooding and he had agreed to surveyors investigating whether a drain could be placed outside Milford Cottage and to determine whether the pipe under the road could cope with the drainage.

Cllr Davies advised that he had also spoken to the Assistant Highways Manager about Station Road and it was his understanding that when the contractor had carried out works they had not done all the works required and this would be followed up. He also understood that there would be more jetting and clearing of culverts/ditches in the area but he did not have a timescale for this.

Cllr Hamm reported that Network Rail had been very responsive to complaints and had usually come and cleared the drains on their land very quickly once flooding had been reported.

Cllr Hodgetts advised that the jetting of the drains on the B3016 under the motorway bridge had made no difference and flooding was still an issue here.

### **8.3 Update on the Barley Mow Junction**

Cllr Hodgetts confirmed that a HCC officer had been assigned to the Community Funded Initiative (CFI) project for the proposed changes to the Pale Lane, Chatter Alley and The Hurst junction. The proposals included extending the white lines on Pale Lane out further towards The Hurst/Chatter Alley so that vehicles waiting to exit Pale Lane would be positioned beyond the pub building improving the site lines. The invoice from HCC for the initial scoping stage of the project had been received and paid. Once this scoping stage had been completed it was expected that plans would be drawn up setting out the proposals and anticipated costs. If WPC confirmed the plans were suitable a full costed detailed design would be produced by HCC and WPC would need to conduct a consultation with the community. The public consultation could involve publishing details in the newsletter, a letter drop and/or a public meeting. Hampshire Highways had put a caveat on the project that the workload for officers remained high and this would have to be prioritised accordingly. It was suggested that details be included in the next newsletter of what was being proposed.

### **8.4 B3016/ Accidents**

Cllr Hodgetts advised that nothing had changed on this since the last meeting and HCC were still waiting for a response from the Police on proposals. The Parish Council did not have any information on what was being proposed. Once a response had been received from the Police HCC would share the details with Cllr Tim Davies as the relevant County Councillor and then with the Parish Council after that. It was hoped that there would be more to report at the next meeting.

## **9 NEWSLETTER**

Planning for the next newsletter was in the early stages and submissions from members of the public were welcomed. A suggestion from a member of the public that short note be included asking for help with running the Village Hall was accepted.

## **10 GREENING CAMPAIGN**

Cllr Hamm advised that the Greening Campaign had started 18 months ago and had supposed to only be in place for one year. She felt that the project was coming to a natural conclusion and it should be closed as an official project. Work would continue with partners on specific ideas aimed at reducing the impact of climate change.

Some of the successes of the campaign had included the thermal imaging of 30 homes, plant exchanges, a 'grow your own food' conference, planters at the pubs and village hall, discovering nature walk and a 'save the planet' poster competition at Dogmersfield School. The plant exchange had been a particular success and would be done again. There were still two planters left that needed a home if anyone could think of suitable locations.

**11 NEW BENCHES AND INFORMATION BOARDS**

Cllr Stewart advised that improvements would be made to the existing noticeboard at the Hurst to extend its life and to keep it looking good. She hope to bring some ideas to the next meeting for an information board to be housed in the bus shelter. She asked for ideas for locations for more benches.

**12 LITTER PICK**

The Litter Pick Co-ordinator confirmed that the autumn litter pick had taken place on 23 November with 28 volunteers in attendance. They had collected 36 bags of litter and some instances of fly tipping had been identified and reported to HDC. The next event would be on Sunday 8 March and she already had 14 volunteers signed up for that date. She hoped more would come forward in the next few weeks. She thanked all the volunteers.

It was agreed to share the details of the litter picks with the Community Engagement Ranger so that he could share details with his group of volunteers to see if they wanted to take part.

The Chairman thanked the Litter Pick Co-ordinator and her team of volunteers.

**13 UPDATE ON THE SPEED INDICATOR DEVICE**

Cllr Hodgetts advised that the new SID was installed on Station Hill on 14 January and would be moved around the five locations along Station Hill and the railway bridge on a monthly cycle. An additional back plate and bracket would be needed to allow the SID to be moved between the locations and Cllr Hodgetts requested funding for this.

**RESOLVED:** That £147.27 be approved for the purchase of an additional back plate and bracket for the SID.

There had been some reports that the new SID had not been working but both the SIDs in the village were only triggered by vehicles travelling over the speed limit. However all traffic would be captured by the device for reporting purposes.

Reports would be uploaded to the Parish Council website on a regular basis and the most recent ones were now available. The latest report showed that the majority of people were travelling under 30 mph. However the highest speed recorded was 55mph which had been recorded very early in the morning and just after midnight. There did not appear to be a trend for speeding around the school run or around train times as had previously been seen. The SIDs also monitored the volume of traffic and it was suggested that a comparison be made over the years to see how much traffic had increased within the village.

Thanks was expressed to the PPC Group (the road haulage business on Station Road) which had made a donation towards the cost of the new SID.

**14 UPDATE ON THE DEFIBRILLATORS**

Cllr Hodgetts thanked the guardians for continuing to check all the defibrillators on a regular basis. She was happy to report that none of the defibs had been used since the last meeting.

There had been some progress with finding a trainer for the first aid course. This was a private company as Cllr Hodgetts had contacted a number of volunteer groups and charities and had not been successful in securing anyone. This company was offering a three hour course for up to 20 people at a cost of £700 + VAT (£35 per person). The course would

cover the use of the defibs as well as lots of other first aid topics. Councillor Hodgetts already had a waiting list for the course and was confident she could fill at least 20 spaces.

**RESOLVED:** That Severnside be instructed to carry out first aid training for residents at a cost of £700 + VAT.

## 15 S106 MONEY

Cllr Milnes-James reported that the Parish Council had supported successful applications for s106 funds from the Village Hall and Winchfield Festival and for the purchase of community benches. Should the application from the Parochial Church Council (PCC) for the composting loo at the Church be approved there would be approximately £900 remaining in s106 funds. This was fantastic news because if not spent by the summer the money may have been returned to the developer. As the remaining was enough for two more benches it was agreed that locations be sought for two benches.

In September 2025 the Parish Council had given its support to the PCC for an application to HDC for section 106 funds for a composting loo. New details were now available on this project and the Chairman invited the Treasurer of St Mary's Winchfield PCC to address the meeting and he provided the following details:

- The toilet would be located in a timber clad building, approximately 2 metres by 2.5 metres;
- The building would be clad in red cedar with matching roof shingles;
- The toilet would be located in the currently unfenced portion of the churchyard;
- The toilet would not be visible from the footpath nor would it interfere with the key views in the Neighbourhood Plan;
- Three quotes had been sought for slightly different types of composting toilet and the one that had been selected was not the cheapest but it was the most suitable for the location;
- The total cost of the project including the toilet, installation, lighting, RADAR lock and extension of the path would be £19,266;
- The application for s106 funds would be for £15,000 with the remainder of the project costs being met by the PCC;
- Planning permission would need to be sought for the toilet.

**RESOLVED:** That an application to HDC for £15,000 of s106 funding for the installation of a compostable toilet at St. Mary's church be supported.

## 16 EVENTS

Cllr Hamm reported that the Winchfield Community Choir had been busy singing at lots of events and more were planned in the coming months.

The Christmas event had gone well with 23 children attending. She thanked those who had volunteered to help and the member of the public who had arranged the installation, and looked after, the Christmas tree at the Hurst.

The Events Committee had not been able to meet recently due to illness of the members but they intended to meet in February and would be discussing the festival.

The Chairman thanked Cllr Hamm for all her work in organising events in the village with lots of social activities now on offer.

## 17 HART DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN UPDATE

The Chairman advised that due to changes introduced by the Government HDC now needed to make provision for 15,000 new houses in the next 20 years. This meant the Local Plan needed to be completely rewritten as it currently fell short of this figure. HDC Cabinet were due to meet on 5 February to agree a plan for the process to follow to re-do the Local Plan, however this might need to be delayed as the Government had not yet set out the new rules on how Local Plans should be developed. HDC had already appointed consultants to manage the project.

The key policy changes in the draft National Planning Policy Framework included:

- **A welcome back to strategic planning** – the new Strategic Planning Authorities would be required to prepare a Spatial Development Strategy;
- **A permanent presumption in favour of suitably located development;**
- **Building homes around stations** - a “default yes” for proposals that developed land around rail stations within existing settlements at a minimum density of 40 dwellings per hectare;
- **Driving urban and suburban densification** - Redevelopment of corner and other low density plots, upward extensions and infill development – including within residential curtilages;
- **Secure a diverse mix of homes** – including support for rural social and affordable housing;
- **Support for small and medium sites** - making it easier to bring forward small sites and building more densely in settlements;
- **Conserve and enhance the natural environment** – develop Local Nature Recovery Strategies and make provision for payments into a nature restoration levy as an alternative to appropriate assessment;
- **Valued landscapes** - the concept is absent from the new draft;
- **Taking a more positive approach to the use of heritage assets** - a new definition of ‘substantial harm’.

At the meeting a map was displayed showing all areas within 800 metres of the railway station in Winchfield where development might be supported under the new rules.

Within the new Local Plan HDC would need to find sites for 15,000 houses over 20 years. The call for sites formally closed on 4 January but not enough sites had been offered so this deadline had been extended. It was unclear at this time whether something like Shapley Heath would be included. It was hoped that details of the sites put forward would be known between March and May. A close eye would be kept on the HDC Local Plan webpage for updates.

**RESOLVED:** That the Chairman and Councillor Hodgetts be delegated responsibility for all matters relating to the Local Plan and that they be authorised to act for the Parish Council in relation to the Local Plan.

### 17.1 Appointment of a LCA Consultant

In order to prepare for what might be set out in the Local Plan the Parish Council had been looking for consultants with expertise in certain areas who would be able to assist. The first consultant identified was a Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) specialist who had been recommended by the person previously used by the Council but now retired. Cllr Williams and Stewart had met with representatives of the consultancy and were happy that they would be able to provide the expertise needed at a price affordable by the Council. Alternatives had not been looked at because this was a specialist area with limited people available with the necessary skills.

**RESOLVED:** To appoint Stone and Meadow as the Landscape Character Area Consultants for the Parish Council on the basis of the fee structure and terms and conditions circulated before the meeting.

**17.2 Appointment of a Planning Consultant**

In addition to a LCA Consultant the Parish Council would also need to engage a Planning Consultant. The previous consultants used were taken over by Carter Jonas and only one of the original team remained at the company. Recommendations for alternative consultants had been sought from other parish councils and seven company's had been identified. Three of these were discounted at an early stage for reasons such as a conflict of interest or not having the right experience. The remaining four were all interviewed by Cllr Williams and Hodgetts and a detailed analysis had been conducted.

Prior to the meeting a table had been circulated setting out details of each company and awarding scores based on the following criteria:

- awareness of the Winchfield area;
- awareness of the Hart area;
- experience of the Local Plan process and policy compliance;
- knowledge of NPPF policy;
- evidence of leading historic appeal decisions;
- evidence of preparing inspector examinations and participating;
- experience of supporting similar case studies to Winchfield; and
- experience in supporting developer led proposals.

Each category was awarded a weighting. The highest scoring was Troy Planning. This company had been used by Odiham Parish Council in the development of the Neighbourhood Plan and a councillor from Odiham had provided a positive reference.

**RESOLVED:** To appoint Troy Planning as the Planning Consultants for the Parish Council on the basis of the proposal and terms and conditions circulated before the meeting.

**18 PLANNING**

**18.1** Applications received since the last meeting:

**25/02521/AMCON and 25/02525/AMCON Baileys Farm, Potbridge Road**

Application to vary Condition 2 (approved plans) of planning permission 24/02150/LBC and 24/02149/HOU dated 20/10/2025 - Erection of extension and alterations to existing former barn and stables already within an ancillary residential use. Response submitted by the deadline on 13 January: No additional comments to those previously submitted by WPC.

**18.2 Land North of Winchfield Court**

The following question had been received from a member of the public in relation to this site:

“What type of planning applications can be expected to be approved by the council? A lot was turned down during recent years, so it would be great to tell landowners (it is NOT one owner) and we are expecting some clean statement from the council.”

In response the Council advised that:

It is not for Winchfield Parish Council to decide what planning applications are acceptable

- that is for the planning authority which is Hart District Council. As a Parish Council we can only comment on any application and with regard to comments on any future applications: each application must be and will be considered on its own merits.

Also in response to a comment by the same member of the public the Clerk agreed that she would try to be more specific with which landowner was being referred to in the Minutes but due to data protection rules names could not be used in Minutes. It may be that when recording any discussion regarding planning applications or planning appeals that the specific reference number would be included for the avoidance of doubt.

When this site was discussed it was usually in relation to the enforcement case which was referred to by HDC as “Land North of Winchfield Court” which is why the Parish Council used this same terminology. The enforcement case related to plots 2,3 and 4 of land north of Winchfield Court and any reference to the landowner with regards to this case meant the owner of plots 2,3 and 4 and not the owners of any other plots.

On the enforcement case (Appeal ref: APP/N1730/C/24/3343755), this had been lodged at Basingstoke Magistrates Court and it could take up to six months to get a hearing date.

### **18.3 Chapel Bungalow, Potbridge Road**

The Enforcement Team at HDC were continuing to look into this and were currently checking ownership of the land. It was understood that a resolution was now a priority for HDC.

### **19 Asset of Community Value**

The Chairman advised that a procedural issue had been identified with the listing of the Barley Mow pub and adjacent cricket field as an asset of community value. As a result the assets had been removed from the register. This was a minor error in the process and the information contained within the application remained relevant and there was still a desire to see the assets listed to help protect them from redevelopment to something that would not be of benefit to the community.

The original application had been reviewed and slightly amended and had been circulated prior to the meeting. The revised application had been shared with the landlady. The application included the pub and the former cricket field at the side which was increasingly being used for community activities including the Winchfield Festival in 2026.

**RESOLVED:** That Winchfield Parish Council support the nomination of the Barley Mow pub and adjacent former cricket field as assets of community value and that the Clerk be authorised to submit the nomination paperwork and supporting documents, as circulated prior to the meeting, to HDC for consideration.

### **20 Data Retention Policy**

A proposed Data Retention Policy had been circulated before the meeting. This document set out how long parish council records and personal data would be retained and the arrangements for their secure disposal, in line with data protection legislation.

**RESOLVED:** That the Data Retention Policy be approved.

### **21 IT Policy**

The Council considered the draft IT Policy, which had been circulated prior to the meeting and set out the arrangements for the acceptable use, security, and management of the Council’s IT systems and electronic data.

Members were advised that the adoption of an IT Policy was a requirement of Assertion 10 which was a new section of the Annual Governance and Accountability Return completed as part of the audit process.

**RESOLVED:** That the IT Policy be approved.

**22 Asset Register**

The Asset Register had been amended to include the new Speed Indicator Device which had recently been purchased.

**RESOLVED:** To approve the updated Asset Register as circulated.

**23 FINANCE AND GOVERNANCE**

**23.1 Payments and Receipts for Q3 to 31 December 2025**

The payments and receipts for 2025/26 quarter three as shown in Appendix 1 were received and approved.

**23.2 Bank Reconciliation to 31 December 2025**

The bank reconciliation to 31 December 2025 as shown in Appendix 2 was received and approved.

**23.3 Budget 2026/2027**

Prior to the meeting the Clerk had circulated revised draft figures for the Budget 2026/27. The budget documents set out the probable actual spend in 2025/26 and the actual spend in 2024/25. Also circulated were the details of money spent on earmarked reserves.

The proposed 2026/27 budget was similar to the previous year's budget but there were some small changes including:

- An increase in the planning pot due to the review of the HDC Local Plan and changes being introduced by the Government which meant proposals for a new town at Winchfield may be revisited and the Parish Council needed to be prepared to engage professionals to help with resisting this;
- a pay rise for the Clerk from 1 April 2026.

It was agreed not to add any further funds to the general reserves as these were now at a level that would adequately cover 3 to 12 months operating costs which was the recommended level.

The budget suggested that the total funds needed for 2026/27 would be £34,067.

**RESOLVED:** (1) To approve the budget for 2026/27; and (2) To approve the earmarked and general reserves for 2026/27.

**23.4 Precept 2026/2027**

The budget suggested a precept of £34,067 would be sufficient for 2026/27. This represented a 1.39% rise for an average Band D household which was an increase of £1.35 a year.

**RESOLVED:** (1) That the precept for 2026/27 be set at £34,067; and (2) That the Chairman, Cllr Milnes-James and the Clerk be authorised to complete the paperwork on this basis to return to HDC.

## 22.5 Grants for Approval

Requests for grants had been received from St Mary's Parochial Church Council (PCC) for start-up funds for The Ark and as a contribution towards the new roof. Both these projects had been considered previously and funding set aside.

**RESOLVED:** (1) That a grant of £250 be made to St Mary's PCC for start-up funds for The Ark; and (2) That a grant of £1,000 be made to St Mary's PCC as a contribution towards the new roof.

## 23.6 Payments for Approval

**RESOLVED:** That the following payments be approved:

			Power to spend
Clerk - AB	January Salary	£394.34	LGA 1972 s112
Clerk - AB	February Salary	£394.34	LGA 1972 s112
Clerk – AB	Expenses (WFH Allowance December and January)	£26.00	LGA 1972 s111
Lloyds Bank	Monthly charge for bank account – automatically debited each month	£4.25	LGA 1972 s111
AJ&CJ Hazell	Christmas Tree	£132.00*	LGA 1972 s145
Stocksigns	New SID	£3,934.62*	Parish Council's Act 1957 s5
Hampshire County Council	Scoping Fee for Barley Mow Junction	£850.00*	Parish Council's Act 1957 s5
Winchfield Village Hall	Room Hire January Meeting	£32.00	LGA 1972 s111
DM Payroll	6 months payroll services	£72.00*	LGA 1972 s111
Kerry Wedlock	Litter Pick Expenses	£94.76	Environmental Protection Act 1990 s89
SLCC	Training for Clerk	£140.40	LGA 1972 s111
SLCC	Membership	£116.00	LGA 1972 s111
Information Commissioner	Annual Fee	£52.00	LGA 1972 s111
WOW	Grant for Christmas Party	£150.00	LGA 1972 s145
Winchfield PCC	Grant for the Ark set up	£250.00	LGA 1972 s145
Winchfield PCC	Grant for the Church roof	£1,000.00	LGA 1894 s8
Christine Strudwick	Expenses – Adobe	£61.08	LGA 1972 s111
Blay Builders	Footpath Cutting Back	£1,353.00	Highways Act 1980 s43, 50
*Payment already made; expenditure agreed by email and within previously agreed budgets			

## 24 CORRESPONDENCE

No correspondence had been received other than that already forwarded and none that needed addressing that had not appeared elsewhere on the agenda.

**25 ANY OTHER BUSINESS *Report Only***

A member of the public asked when the business on Station Hill needed to reapply for its operating licence. The Chairman confirmed that it did not need to be renewed for five years from the date of the previous application.

A second member of the public asked how first aid trained residents could be contacted in the case of an emergency. Cllr Hodgetts advised she would consider this as part of the first aid training and would see if participants would be prepared to share details but felt that this would probably be done by word of mouth.

**26 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

Dates of future meetings were noted: 16 March 2026, 18 May 2026, 20 July 2026, 21 September 2026 and 16 November 2026 all starting at 7pm.

**There being no further business, the meeting closed at 8.36 pm**

<b>WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL</b>						
<b>SUMMARY RECEIPTS &amp; PAYMENT ACCOUNT</b>						
<b>3rd QUARTER ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2025</b>						
Annual budget/ allocation	Spend to 31-Dec				Figures shown exclusive of VAT	
		<b>RECEIPTS</b>			£	£
33,299.00	33,299.00	Precept			-	
1,200.00	1,415.58	Bank Interest			85.99	
3,233.06	7,874.01	Other			6,132.59	
37,732.06	42,588.59					
		<b>TOTAL RECEIPTS</b>				<b>6,218.58</b>
		<b>PAYMENTS</b>				
5,000.00	3,549.06	Net Salaries & Allowances			1,183.02	
1,125.00	772.64	Administration			247.90	
1,100.00	843.34	Newsletter			411.67	
200.00	-	Chairmans Allowance			-	
1,601.00	-	Election Expenses			-	
718.00	-	Office Equipment			-	
443.00	-	Website Development			-	
3,850.25	1,058.75	Repairs & Maintenance			-	
360.00	-	SID Repair & Maintenance			-	
1,023.05	-	Defib Repair & Maintenance			-	
500.00	485.57	Insurance Premium			-	
4,250.00	2,700.00	Grants & Donations:			-	
1,500.00	369.10	Events			258.40	
896.00	445.50	Section 137			209.50	
150.00	15.00	Training			-	
230.00	140.00	Hall Hire			32.00	
600.00	392.50	Audit Fees			-	
460.00	375.00	Subscriptions			-	
475.00	-	Contingencies			-	
40,893.51	-	Planning Counsel & NDP			-	
12,140.39	3,958.85	Community Benefit			3,278.85	
13,614.48	3,919.88	Community Project Fund			1,498.88	
16,598.00	-	General Reserve			-	
-	1,347.41	VAT on payments			877.88	
107,727.68	20,372.60					
		<b>TOTAL PAYMENTS</b>				<b>7,998.10</b>
		BALANCE BROUGHT FORWARD on 01/10/25				89,523.58
		<b>ADD</b> Total Receipts (as above)				6,218.58
		<b>LESS</b> Total payments (as above)				7,998.10
		<b>Balance Carried forward 31/12/25</b>				<b>87,744.06</b>
These cumulative funds are represented by:						
		Current Account Balance			6,108.65	
		Less: Cheques drawn but not debited as at 31.12.25	None		-	
		Deposit Account Balance			52,142.33	
		Other Account			29,493.08	
						<b>87,744.06</b>
Signed:						
Responsible Finance Officer to Winchfield Parish Council					Date:	
Signed:						
Councillor					Date:	

<b><u>WINCHFIELD PARISH COUNCIL</u></b>	
<b><u>BANK RECONCILIATION as at 31/12/2025</u></b>	
<b><u>LLOYDS BANK</u></b>	
Current Bank Account 01235673	
Balance per statement	6,108.65
<b><u>LLOYDS BANK</u></b>	
Business Reserve Account 07285516	
Balance per statement	52,142.33
<b><u>CAMBRIDGE &amp; COUNTIES</u></b>	
Deposit Account 15012029	
Balance per statement	29,493.08
<b><u>Less unrepresented cheques as at 31/12/2025</u></b>	
Cheque Nos: None	
<b>TOTAL CASH AT BANK</b>	<b>87,744.06</b>